

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE SERVICES
8260 Longleaf Drive, Elk Grove, CA 95758



May 30, 2014
Request for Proposal (RFP) #HCPNTPA13366
Healthcare Provider Network and Third Party Administrator Services

Addendum # 6

RESPONSES TO BIDDERS' QUESTIONS

Question Number	RFP Section and Page #	Bidder Question	CCHCS Response
RFP-Q51		We understand that the Receiver, Clark Kelso chose to recuse himself from this procurement. Has anyone else been recused as well?	No.
RFP-Q52	6.3.A question 11, part C.i	Is the intent of this requirement to say that the contractor be responsible for including in the proposal the best method for institution/facility staff viewing the directory to have access to use the best value provider while maintaining access to and continuity of care to the patient-inmate?	Refer to addendum 5, response to question number RFP-Q44.
RFP-Q53	Bidders' Library – Scope of Work of Laboratory Director	Can you provide clarification on the, "Scope of Work of Laboratory Director"?	Requirements and Responsibilities are outlined in the Scope of Work.
		Does CCHCS envision this position being filled by staff dedicated exclusively to CCHCS needs?	No.
		Would this scoping be considered a violation of government code 19130?	No.

Question Number	RFP Section and Page #	Bidder Question	CCHCS Response
RFP-Q54		<p>Since there was no attendance taken at the bid conference how can potential sub-contractors identify and connect to prime bidder for this opportunity to offer subcontracting services?</p>	<p>CDCR/CCHCS does not provide information to vendors or companies on potential bidders. If you are registered in Bidsync you may be able to view suppliers that have viewed or downloaded this RFP.</p>
RFP-Q55	CCHCS released data via NDA	<p>We request that CCHCS augment the CDCR usage data with the following data items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Vendor tax id number: Federal Tax ID number. -Service location type: Type of location in which the service was rendered. This may include physician office, mobile unit, telemedicine, ambulatory surgery center, hospital, etc. -Service location ZIP code: ZIP code of the location where service was actually rendered. This may not necessarily conform to the vendor's office headquarters. 	<p>Vendor/Federal Tax ID number cannot be provided. Social Security Numbers are included in that field as well and it is not feasible to redact them.</p> <p>Service Location Type – This can be derived from bill type (contained in header) and place of service (contained in the line level detail).</p> <p>Zip Code – CCHCS' database does not contain this field.</p> <p>A new disk with additional fields is available upon request.</p> <p>The utilization data field descriptions will be added to the Bidders' Library.</p>
RFP-Q56	6.2 Company Background, pp. 18-20	<p>Please provide more clarity on how the 50 points will be awarded in the Company Background section. Specifically please disaggregate how many points are allocated to each question. Furthermore, please also describe the criteria you will use to assign points.</p>	<p>The RFP will be amended to disaggregate the 50 points for Company Background.</p>

Question Number	RFP Section and Page #	Bidder Question	CCHCS Response
RFP-Q57	6.11.A.1 – 4 pp. 86-87	Please provide clarification on how CCHCS plans to award points regarding Implementation Deliverables given that the incumbent bidder's response will be substantially different from all other bidders.	CCHCS will award points based on the thoroughness of the plans submitted. It is expected that each plan will be dependent on the vendor's unique proposal. There is no expectation that the plans will be identical. Therefore, each vendor should submit plans that are thorough and detailed sufficiently to demonstrate that they understand the steps necessary to implement each phase of the project. It is understood that the successful vendor will need to provide additional detail to the plans if they are awarded the contract.
RFP-Q58	Technical Scoring Explanation, p.94	Please provide more clarity on how CCHCS will award points and establish guidelines that are tied to business requirements.	The information following Table 1-Technical Scoring provides sufficient guidance to vendors regarding how the technical business requirements will be scored.
RFP-Q59		Per BIDSYNC notification, there was a timeline change from July 16, 2014 to August 31, 2014. Was this done to change or extend timelines in the RFP?	No. The change did not relate to any timeline changes or requirements in the RFP. It was a timeline end change for listing the RFP in eProcurement. Please refer to the timeline in the "Key Action Dates" in the RFP.
RFP-Q60	Addendum #5, RFP-Q43	We have reviewed the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975 (the "Act") and pursuant to section 1341 of the Act, the Department of Managed Health Care ("DMHC") possesses jurisdiction to execute "the laws of this state relating to health care service plans and the health care service plan business, including but not limited to, those laws directing the	No, CCHCS does not consider the Knox-Keene Act to apply to the subject RFP or to any resulting contract, including that it does not apply so as to require that an entity must have a Knox-Keene license in order to bid on the RFP or to enter and execute a

Question Number	RFP Section and Page #	Bidder Question	CCHCS Response
		<p>department to ensure that health care service plans provide enrollees with access to quality health care services and protect and promote the interest of enrollees.” (Emphasis added.) “Health care service plans” are defined to include the provision of health care services to subscribers or enrollees and enrollees are defined as persons enrolled in “a plan.” See section 1341.3.</p> <p>Given the unique nature of the CDCR/DJJ population as it relates to their health care services, it is clear that the CCHCS health care program falls outside of the jurisdiction of the DMHC. CDCR patient inmates and DJJ youth are not enrollees or subscribers and their health care is governed by California statute, not a commercial health care service plan. The RFP requested provider network and other RFP requested services do not constitute a “plan” for Knox-Keene purposes.</p> <p>QUESTIONS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Given this statutory authority, does the State still believe Knox-Keene licensure is required? 2. If the State still considers a Knox-Keene license to be required, please clarify whether the State understands that this will mean the DMHC will impose all of the regulatory burdens on this contract and will oversee the management of the contract to ensure compliance with Knox-Keene laws and implementing regulations 3. If the State still considers a Knox-Keene license to be required, does the State require the bidding entity 	<p>resulting contract. That the Knox-Keene Act does not apply is consistent with the previous RFP and resulting contract for service to inmates, as well as with the terms of and stated intent of the Knox-Keene Act. CCHCS retracts any earlier CCHCS comment/response in this RFP process that incorrectly asserts applicability of the Knox-Keene Act and/or related licensure requirements. Bidder questions “2” and “3” as stated here are understood to be contingent on CCHCS considering the Knox-Keene Act to apply; since that contingency is not met (see response above) further responses to questions “2” and “3” are not provided.</p>

Question Number	RFP Section and Page #	Bidder Question	CCHCS Response
		(as opposed to a teaming partner) to possess a Knox-Keene license, and if so, has the State considered the additional contractor costs associated with ensuring Knox-Keene compliance for the contract resulting from this solicitation that is otherwise exempt from the complex Knox-Keene regulations and DMHC oversight?	

Please continue to check these websites for updates to the RFP and corresponding documents:

http://www.cphcs.ca.gov/project_rfp.aspx or
www.BidSync.com